Art. 6
The Reviewers

(1) For assessment of the postdoctoral thesis, the extended Faculty Council appoints as reviewers at least three members of the faculty. At least one of them must not belong to the Otto-von-Guericke University.

(2) The extended Faculty Council has to ensure by selection of the reviewers that these – if necessary in cooperation – are able to examine and assess the specific subject area comprehensively.

(3) Only persons holding a Venia legendi for the subject concerned in the postdoctoral thesis or at least essentially touched by it or who have proved the required scientific proficiency in another way, may be charged with the judgement.

(4) The reviewers are subjected to the legal command of their personal, immediate, and complete notice of the postdoctoral thesis.

(5) Every reviewer has to submit a written review report within at least three months suggesting the acceptance or rejection of the postdoctoral thesis in an understandable manner. In case, a review report should not arrive in time, the extended Faculty Council may determine a new reviewer.

(6) All staff members of the Faculty of Computer Science are entitled to make a well-founded statement on the postdoctoral thesis.

(7) The dean forwards the review reports and statements to all members of the extended Faculty Council.

Art. 7
The Doctoral Committee

(1) The doctoral committee has to consist of at least five persons. The reviewing experts are members of the doctoral committee. Members of the faculty may be members of the doctoral committee as well. The chairperson of the doctoral committee has to be a professor and a member of the extended Faculty Council. She or he must not act as a reviewing expert in the current procedure.

---

1 Comment: comprehensive and well-founded report
The members of the doctoral committee are obliged to participate in the trial lecture and the defence as far as they are members of the Otto-von-Guericke University of Magdeburg. Exceptions are permitted owing to serious reasons only.